

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,915	12/31/2003		Ichiro Yamamoto	NECR 20.838	1383	
26304	7590	01/19/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN N	<b>IUCHIN</b>	ZAVIS ROSEN	GEYER, SCOTT B			
575 MADISO	ON AVEN	IUE				
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2829		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, , <u>,,</u>
	10/749,915	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Ünit	
	Scott B. Geyer	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	by be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  5 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
•	variilles. Note the attached C	mice Action of form 1 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
•			
Attack-novt/s)			
Attachment(s)        Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	lail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2829

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 288+.
- II. Claims 6-12, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 197+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method claims recite a step of forming a sidewall structure around the gate, before or after annealing, whereas the device claims are silent as to any sidewall structure. Dependent upon when the sidewall structure is formed, or whether a sidewall structure is used at all, especially in relation to the doping to form source and drain regions, would result in differing structures being formed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,915

Art Unit: 2829

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (571)272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,915

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT GEYER PATENT EXAMINER

SBG January 13, 2005

1-17-05